



12 October 2018

Investigator Corporate Update

Investigator Resources Limited (ASX Code: IVR) ('Investigator') is pleased to provide the following updates in relation to its previously announced reviewed corporate strategy and existing projects.

PARIS SILVER PROJECT

The Paris Silver Prospect is Investigator's key asset where a JORC (2012) Mineral Resource estimate of 42 million ounces of silver and 55 thousand tonnes of lead positions Paris, in

Investigator's opinion, as one of the best undeveloped silver projects in Australia and offers shareholders significant upside to the silver market.

Used primarily for electrical circuitry and for its natural biocide qualities, the price of silver having historically seen values in excess of US\$40/oz, has in 2018 fallen to under US\$15/oz – see price chart below.



As reported earlier this year (IVR ASX Release: 7 May 2018), follow up work to the 2013 metallurgical testwork (IVR ASX Release: 21 October 2013) conducted by Core Metallurgy ('Core') had been commissioned with the principal objective of defining opportunities to improve the metallurgical recoveries and support the Paris Project Pre-Feasibility Study ('PFS').

In the 2018 study, Core carried out gravity, flotation and leach testwork aimed at improving silver recoveries, as well as identifying methods to recover lead/silver concentrate which were not examined in 2013. This work was focussed on samples taken from 4 distinctive mineralogical domains, being:

- Breccia Oxide;
- Breccia Transitional (without Carbonate/Magnesium);
- Breccia Transitional (with Carbonate/Magnesium); and
- Dolomite.

The objective was to determine if selective processing could improve recoveries. The findings of this work were reported in the Investigator ASX announcement in May 2018. With conventional cyanide leach trials delivering silver recoveries within a range of 65% to 89% - averaging around 74%.

As a result of this work, the poorly recovering BT Transitional (without Carbonate/Magnesium) domain was subdivided into two essentially geological sub-domains, IGB (Ignimbrite) and BT2 (Breccia Transitional Revised). Limited additional testwork was completed on samples from these two sub-domains aimed at quantifying their lithological and/or surface

oxidation-related characteristics to silver recovery.

Testwork was completed on all composite samples representing each domain separately in order to support flowsheet development and produce commercially traded products or intermediates. This included the option of recovery via various gravity methods.

Overall, the work identified alternatives to enhance lead recoveries and improve the gross revenue of product, however average silver recoveries remained at approximately 74%.

Pending review, further metallurgical testwork and flowsheet optimisation on the Paris Project has ceased. Core identified a number of additional processing options which may incrementally lift recoveries, however this testwork is not warranted at this time. There has been sufficient information generated to understand the key parameters of the Project's performance.

A number of North American silver specialist metallurgists are known to the Company. The findings of the final Core report will be peer reviewed with the objective of defining any future work that can improve the Project's performance at a time when silver economics improve.

MASLINS PROJECT

The Maslins project is a high profile IOCG target located on the Stuart Shelf (IVR ASX Release: 24 July 2018). Magneto-Telluric surveys by Geoscience Australia and proprietary data compiled by Investigator (including gravity, magnetics and synthesis of regional drillhole data) defined a drill target considered by the Company to be realistically analogous to Olympic Dam.

Maslins has generated significant interest from several parties and discussions are in progress with the objective of having a partner enter a Farm-In/Joint Venture Arrangement to fund the proposed drilling program. Whilst later than preferred, the delay in concluding an agreement with an incoming partner has enabled other prospective parties to be canvassed.

CORPORATE RATIONALISATION

As was announced on 16 August, Mr John Anderson stepped down from his role of Managing Director and CEO. At that same time, a number of roles within Investigator were made redundant. With the reduced focus on the Paris Silver Project and the strategy to limit expenditure on higher risk early stage exploration properties personnel numbers have been reduced from 8 to 3.

All expenditures are being critically reviewed to ensure conservation of current cash reserves.

A number of early stage tenements, which have an attendant high risk, have been

identified as non-core and have either been relinquished or will not be renewed upon expiry.

The Thurgla Joint Venture ('JV') with Andromeda (ASX:ADN) over the tenement area to the West of the Peterlumbo (Paris Project) tenement package is considered to offer insufficient benefit to warrant further expenditure. Investigator has notified Andromeda of its intention to terminate the JV. The process to return Investigator's JV interest, without future liability, has commenced.

CORPORATE ACTIVITY

As was previously advised, the Board of Directors of Investigator Resources Limited have determined that the near-term focus of the Company shall be to acquire a high-profile advanced exploration project, without restriction as to commodity or jurisdiction.

In addition to a number of projects that had been previously offered to Investigator, numerous other opportunities have been reviewed over the past few months. This work has extended from simple desk-top reviews through to detailed investigative site visits and due diligence reviews. It is intuitively obvious that high value projects cannot necessarily be identified and cheaply or easily acquired. They are in fact rare and the acquisition process is intensive. However, the Investigator Team is confident that the process will add value for Investigator shareholders in a timely manner.

This work is the current main focus of Investigator and updates will be provided on a regular basis.

Competent Person Statement

The information in this announcement relating to the Paris Silver Project exploration results is based on information compiled by Mr. Jason Murray who is a full time employee of the company. Mr. Murray is a member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr. Murray has sufficient experience of relevance to the styles of mineralisation and the type of deposit under consideration, and to the activities undertaken, to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the Joint Ore Reserves Committee (JORC) Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves. Mr. Murray consents to the inclusion in this report of the matters based on information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this announcement that relates to Mineral Resources Estimates at the Paris Silver Project is extracted from the report entitled "Significant 26% upgrade for Paris Silver Resource to 42Moz contained silver" dated 19 April 2017 and is available to view on the Company website www.investres.com.au. The Company confirms that it is not aware of any new information or data that materially affects the information included in the original market announcement and that all material assumptions and technical parameters underpinning the estimates in the relevant market announcement continue to apply and have not materially changed. The company confirms that the form and context in which the Competent Person's findings are presented have not been materially modified from the original market announcement.

For further information contact:

Mr Andrew McIlwain
Acting CEO/Director
Investigator Resources Limited
info@investres.com.au
Phone: 08 7325 2222

Web: www.investres.com.au



APPENDIX 1

TABLE 1: Paris Silver Project within the Peterlumbo Tenement – Pre-Feasibility Study Progress, October 2018 - JORC 2012

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.</i> <i>Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.</i> <i>Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.</i> <i>In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. ‘RC drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information.</i> 	<p>Metallurgical Testwork Sampling:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples for metallurgical testwork were composited from mineralised intervals that were considered representative of each geometallurgical domain within the Paris deposit. These domains included Breccia Oxide, Transitional Breccia (No magnesium/calcium (“Mg/Ca”)), Transitional Breccia (Mg/Ca indicating carbonate gangue) and Dolomite. Metallurgical samples were obtained from coarse reverse circulation (“RC”) sample material remaining after riffle split sampling for assay at the time of drilling (field coarse reject material). Material was stored on site in individual meter bags until return of assays from ALS laboratories occurred. Samples were then quarantined off if mineralised intersections were greater than the selective mining unit (2m) and representative of the style of mineralisation encountered at Paris. Samples were retained in their original plastic bags with hole number and sample number preserved for identification. Samples were stored in sealed drums under argon gas to reduce oxidation. Following geometallurgical classification work, samples were re-organised into drums based on their unique geochemical criteria - oxidised breccia, transition sulphide breccia, transition sulphide with Mg/Ca gangue association and dolomite material. Samples were again put under argon gas with drums securely sealed. Drums were then dispatched to Core Processing Engineering Pty Ltd (“Core Resources”) in Brisbane for further composite blending prior to testwork. Records of samples selected and composited within geometallurgical domains are retained. Comminution test samples were obtained from representative

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		mineralised intervals obtained from diamond core twin holes drilled as part of the 2016 resource drilling program. Half diamond core samples were selected, bagged on a meter interval basis and sealed in buckets prior to dispatch to Core Resources for comminution test work.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, RC, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc.) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drilling discussed in this release has been previously described in detail in Table 1 documents and the reader is referred to prior ASX releases for information on these programs available on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au.
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<p>Metallurgical Sampling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All material sampled for metallurgical testwork was obtained from drilling completed in 2016 and utilised in the 2017 Paris Mineral Resource estimate. Readers are directed to previous Table 1 documents that relate to the resource estimate which covers the sample recovery in detail and is available on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies. Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc.) photography. The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All drilling is qualitatively logged and photographed. Qualitative logging includes lithology, colour, mineralogy, description, marker horizons, weathering, texture, alteration and mineralisation. Quantitative logging includes magnetic susceptibility, RQD, orientation data. Lithologies that were hard to identify, or which there was a need to provide greater information on mineralisation or alteration were submitted to an independent consultant petrologist for further analysis. Multi element geochemistry was utilised to identify some lithological units and geometallurgical domains. All holes were logged and sampled over their entire interval.
Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken. If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc. and whether sampled wet or dry. 	<p>All drilling discussed in this release has been previously outlined in detail in prior Table 1 documents and the reader is referred to prior ASX releases for information on these programs are available on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au.</p> <p>Metallurgical Sampling:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All original RC material was coarse reject sample left over from riffle splitting i.e. all remaining material (rock chips and fines) which

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i></p> <p><i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<p>passed through a riffle splitter but did not constitute the original 3kg sample for assay.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sub-sampling conducted by way of riffle or cone splitting as required by Core Resources in order to produce composited blend material that was representative in grade of the domain being tested. Domains were confined to within the 2017 pit optimisation shell boundary. Representative domain grades were obtained by quantifying the average Mineral Resource estimate grade for each modelled geometallurgical domain utilising the existing block model produced by H & S Consulting Pty Ltd (“H&SC”) as part of the JORC compliant 2017 Paris Mineral Resource estimate. The grade is indicative of each domain and may have a small degree of error dependent on whether some blocks fall partially within or without of the modelled domain. Indicated category silver grades were utilised from each geometallurgical domain for compositing. Grades were cross checked against the estimated grades within oxidation states completed during the 2017 Mineral Resource estimate and found to be of similar tenor and are considered representative. All metallurgical sample utilised in this program was obtained from the central infill drilling that was completed in 2016 and is classified as indicated as part of the 2017 Paris Mineral Resource estimation. It is representative of this area of the deposit and considered to be representative of the wider deposit, however given a breccia hosted mineralisation style there may be some internal variation present that is not accounted for at this level of study. Metallurgical material available totals approximately 4.5t with individual composite samples selected down to between 77kg (oxide) to approximately 1,200kg (Transition breccia material). Material was split down and homogenised by Core Resources prior to sub sampling for various metallurgical tests. Sample sizes are considered appropriate for the metallurgical test-work being undertaken and were selected in consultation with the independent metallurgical consultants. Sample material for coarse particle scrubbing tests were selected based on representative dolomitic or breccia transitional material obtained from comminution sample DH core samples. The dolomitic material whilst lithologically representative was of lower grade tenor than the resource domain and as such has lower confidence applied

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>to test outcomes.</p> <p>Comminution Sampling:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample intervals were selected from available diamond drill core from the 2016 Paris Mineral Resource drilling. • Sample intervals were selected for breccia material and dolomitic material (2 x domains). • Available half core sample material was collected from intervals to supply an appropriate sample volume (100kg to 200kg per sample) of material from intervals that could represent potential mining widths (>2m) and of average grade around that of the current Paris Mineral Resource. Representative material was selected by Core Resources for comminution testwork from each domain. • Tests for compressive strength, bond impact crushing, SMC, bond abrasion and bond ball mill work index were undertaken by Core Resources to industry standards.
<p>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc., the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metallurgical domains were independently check assayed by Core Resources to confirm blended composite domains contained expected grades of silver and lead that are representative of each domain. • Representative samples of each blended domain produced by Core Resources at their laboratories were sampled and check assayed by IVR utilising an independent accredited laboratory to confirm accuracy. • All testwork was undertaken by certified and accredited laboratories/test facilities, including Core Resources, and sub-contractor's; <i>Bureau Veritas</i> (Comminution tests), <i>Gekko Systems</i> (Cyanide destruction tests) JK Tech (SMC Testwork), GBL Process (Filtration Testwork), MODA microscopy (Rougher concentrate mineralogy), Centre for Ore Deposit and Earth Sciences - University of Tasmania (Minerology tests - MLA and laser ablation), and Bureau Veritas (Qualitative SEM (QEMSCAN) mineral analysis),. • Internal certified laboratory QA/QC is undertaken by all the laboratories/test facilities. • Core Resources are NATA accredited maintaining ISO17025 compliant systems. • Company representatives attended the Core Resources and Bureau Veritas laboratories to review and verify metallurgy, and mineralogy test procedures and objectives.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Verification of sampling and assaying	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<p>All other drilling discussed in this release has been previously outlined in detail in prior Table 1 documents and the reader is referred to prior ASX release relating to the 2017 Paris Mineral Resource estimate for information on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary data is captured initially on paper then uploaded into an in-house referential and integrated database system designed and managed by Investigator Resources Limited ("IVR"). All assay data is cross-validated using MicroMine drill hole validation checks including interval integrity checks. All diamond core and RC chip photography is saved electronically on company servers for reference. Twinned holes are used as part of any Mineral Resource definition drilling component. <p>Laboratory assay data is not adjusted aside from assigning over range results when appropriate, replacing "<" with "-", and converting all results released as % to ppm.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples making up a domain were blended and homogenised and assayed, with assays compared to the weighted average silver assays reported in original assay files produced as part of resource definition drilling to confirm accuracy.
Location of data points	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<p><u>Collar co-ordinate surveys</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All coordinates are recorded in GDA 94 MGA Zone 53. Surveys have been undertaken by IVR staff using a Trimble R2 RTK Rover Differential GPS with Omnistar HP processing with an accuracy of +/-10cm. Topographic control uses a high resolution DTM generated by Aero-Metrex 28cm survey (2013) and cross-validated using the Omnistar HP DGPS. <p><u>Down hole surveys</u></p> <p>Down hole surveys are completed on approximately 30m intervals and at end of hole. All surveys taken are recorded within the electronic database and validated for obvious errors or faults by the site geological team at the time. Faulty or erroneous readings are rejected but have information retained in the database.</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Data spacing and distribution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<p>All drilling discussed in this release has been previously outlined in detail in prior Table 1 documents and the reader is referred to prior ASX releases for information on these programs available on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sample compositing has been applied to create the representative metallurgical domains for testing. • No Mineral Resource estimation was undertaken in relation to this release.
Orientation of data in relation to geological structure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	<p>All drilling discussed in this release has been previously outlined in detail in prior Table 1 documents and the reader is referred to prior ASX releases for information on these programs available on the Company's website, www.investres.com.au</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drilling utilised in selection of metallurgical testwork samples is vertical in nature and appropriately targets the flat lying orientation of mineralisation at Paris and is considered to be oriented appropriately for identifying silver mineralisation hosted within the deposit.
Sample security	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All drilling and sampling is undertaken under the direction of an IVR geologist. • Metallurgical samples were collected from retained coarse reject RC drill material which was stored under the direction of IVR geologists in sealed steel drums under argon gas to reduce oxidation as much as possible. Final sample selection was undertaken by an IVR geologist in consultation with Core Resources and individual samples were selected and placed into steel drums which were filled with argon gas and sealed with silicone and steel bands to provide an airtight and secure environment. Drums were palletised and securely strapped and dispatched to Core Resources in Brisbane by a reputable contracted freight. Sample numbers on all bags were cross checked by Core Resources on arrival in Brisbane to confirm that samples provided match the sample dispatch list provided by IVR. • Comminution samples were selected under the direction of an IVR geologist from remaining drill core stored in a secure warehouse. This core was stored unrefrigerated and was placed into named and numbered plastic bags before sealing in a series of buckets prior to dispatch by commercial freight to Core Resources in Brisbane. • Drill samples for assay are placed in individually numbered calico bags which reference the interval being sampled. Calico bags are

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>then placed in poly weave sacks and cable tied prior to transportation by IVR staff or field crew to the Adelaide based laboratory. A sample dispatch register recording intervals, date of transport and person responsible for transport is maintained.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Master pulps are retained from the laboratory for potential re-analysis.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No audits or reviews have been undertaken for work undertaken in the current release relating to sampling techniques. • Review of multi-element data as part of the geometallurgical modelling independently confirmed a number of modelled domains completed by IVR and the Mineral Resource estimation consultants H&SC during the 2017 Mineral Resource estimation.

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Mineral tenement and land tenure status	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings. The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a licence to operate in the area. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All results accompanying this TABLE 1, are derived from within EL5368 that was granted to Sunthe Uranium Pty Ltd a wholly owned subsidiary of Investigator Resources Limited (“IVR”). IVR manages EL5368 (Peterlumbo tenement) and holds a 100% interest. EL5368 is located on Crown Land covered by several pastoral leases. An Indigenous Land User Agreement (ILUA) has been signed with the Gawler Range Native Title Group and the Peterlumbo tenement has been ‘Culturally and Heritage’ cleared for exploration activities. This ILUA terminated on 28 February 2017 however this termination does not affect EL5368 (or any renewals, regrants and extensions) as the explorer entered into an accepted contract prior to 28 February 2017. There is no registered Conservation or National Parks on EL5368. An Exploration PEPR for the entirety of EL5368 has been approved by the Department of Energy and Mining (previously the Department for State Development) for the life of tenement.
Exploration done by other parties	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> There has been limited exploration work on the tenement, by other parties. A number of shallow air core holes (generally with depths of 25m or less), were completed by Shell Ltd and Aberfoyle Ltd within the tenement. An additional three RC drill holes were completed by MIM Ltd targeting the Nankivel Hills which identified evidence of high sulphidation alteration. No prior exploration was completed on Paris silver deposit by other companies.
Geology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Paris Silver Project is a silver/lead deposit that is hosted predominantly within a sequence of flat lying polymictic volcanic breccia related to the Gawler Range Volcanics. The Paris silver deposit is an intermediate sulphidation mineralised body associated with a felsic volcanic breccia system in an epithermal environment with a significant component of stratabound control. The deposit has an elongate sub-horizontal tabular shape with dimensions

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>of approximately 1.6km length and approximately 800m width and is situated at the base of a Gawler Range Volcanic (mid-Proterozoic) sequence at an unconformity with the underlying Hutchison Group (palaeo-Proterozoic) dolomitic marble. Some of the deposit impinges into the altered upper dolomite. The host volcanic stratigraphy comprises felsic volcanic breccia including dolomite, volcanic, sulphide, graphitic meta-sediment and granite clasts. The breccia host is fault-bounded on its long axis by variably graphitic meta-sediment indicating a possible elongate graben setting to the deposit. The upper margin to the host breccia is a thin layer of unconsolidated Quaternary colluvium clays and sands to the present-day surface. Steep dipping, granitic dyke intrusions occur in the underlying dolomite and are interpreted to have intruded parallel to the body of mineralisation and a brittle structural zone within the dolomite. Sporadic skarn alteration is observed within the dolomite and occurs at the margins of the dykes that is overprinted by the silver mineralisation. Felsic dyke intrusives and breccias occur at either end and at the centre of the deposit and may comprise different generations. These are interpreted to be associated with the brecciation event. Multiple stages of mineralisation associated with multiple phases of intrusion, alteration and brecciation have been identified at Paris. Silver mineralisation is predominantly in the form of acanthite and native silver with a minor component as solid solution within other sulphide species (galena, sphalerite, arsenopyrite etc.). High grade zones within the breccia can be in the form of coarse clasts or aggregates/disseminations of sulphide clasts and in some instances are closely associated with cross cutting dacitic and partially brecciated dykes which are likely associated with pre-existing faults. A high degree of clay alteration has overprinted the breccia body, much of which is considered to be hypogene however a limited zone of secondary weathering effects which is interpreted to have led to a limited zone of supergene mineralisation is interpreted at the base of complete oxidation.</p>
Drill hole Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ easting and northing of the drill hole collar ○ elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar ○ dip and azimuth of the hole 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Metallurgical composite samples have been obtained from a large number of intervals in holes drilled during the 2016 infill resource drilling program. All holes from this program have had their location previously supplied in ASX Release, Table 1 (19 April, 2017, Significant upgrade for Paris Silver Resource). Given composites have been selected from these holes and are on the basis of geometallurgical classification and grade the individual holes selected to produce the

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> ● <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<p>composite are not regarded as material and their exclusion does not detract from the understanding in this report.</p>
Data aggregation methods	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> ● <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> ● <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No results reported for mineral intersections as part of this release. ● No metal equivalents are reported. ● Metallurgical domain samples have been chosen by referencing silver and lead intersections that have been previously calculated using a 30g/t silver cut-off and 0.1% lead cut-off. Minimum intersection widths are 1m and up to 1m of internal dilution are included in drill hole results. ● Where 1m sampling has been undertaken then weighted average intersections for elements have been calculated using minimum intersection widths of 1m and up to 1m of internal dilution.
Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> ● <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> ● <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Not relevant to the information in this release as no drill intercepts are being reported.
Diagrams	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No new plans of drilling and intercepts produced as no drill intercepts are being reported as part of this release.
Balanced reporting	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● No new drill intercepts reported.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p>Other substantive exploration data</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mineralisation is likely to be hosted within highly altered and variably fractured and veined intrusives; however skarn mineralisation and overprinting may also be present. Regional targeting and interpretation has relied on aeromagnetic data flown by IVR on 200m line spacing in addition to closer spaced 80m line spaced aeromagnetic data covering the Paris-Nankivel area (all magnetic data has been previously reported). This data has identified multiple orientations of variably magnetic and non-magnetic dykes within the tenement that are interpreted to represent different phases of intrusive, some of which may relate to Paris style dykes interpreted to be intimately related to mineralisation. Partial leach soil sampling was incorporated in targeting of drilling. Historical soil sampling of a coarser fraction (-2mm) was employed in some areas of the tenement and has in some instances had fewer elements assayed. More recent partial leach soils are -175 micron and tend to respond well to low level soil anomalies based on higher surface area for the leachant to react with. This soil sampling has been used for targeting in the past and continues to be used, although dispersion effects and possible false anomalies do occur. A gravity survey covering the wider Paris-Nankivel region and other prospects has previously been released and is used in targeting within the tenement. The gravity data is particularly useful at interpreting non-magnetic structures and dykes in the area. A VTEM survey consisting of a number of short lines across the Paris trend, in addition to horizontal flight lines across the tenement was undertaken as part of a government funded regional hydrological survey in 2014. Data was collected and processed by CSIRO who employed Geoscience Australia's layered-earth sample-by-sample inversion (GA-LEI) to invert the VTEM max data. This data has been utilised by IVR to assist in the identification of palaeochannels in addition to identifying conductive anomalies, some of which have been drilled (e.g. Ares prospect, 2017). Substantial field mapping was incorporated in analysis of targets and in generation of conceptual models. Palaeochannel groundwater volumetric estimations are based on the modelling of the aquifer utilising available drill data and available gravity survey information to constrain the Hector palaeochannel.
<p>Further work</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Further work to advance the Paris Deposit to completion of a Pre-

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i>	<p>Feasibility level of study will be considered by the Board of IVR as global silver economics improve. Peer review of completed metallurgical testwork will be undertaken.</p>

Section 3 Estimation and Reporting of Mineral Resources

(Criteria listed in section 1, and where relevant in section 2, also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p>Database integrity</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to ensure that data has not been corrupted by, for example, transcription or keying errors, between its initial collection and its use for Mineral Resource estimation purposes. Data validation procedures used. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary data is captured directly into an in-house referential and integrated database system designed and managed by Investigator Resources Limited (“IVR”). All data is cross-validated using MicroMine for errors including missing intervals/from-to co-ordinate discrepancies/duplications, missing/duplicate holes, 3D hole deviation and missing survey information. The master database is a single server-hosted database managed by the Paris Project Manager. All field database replicas are validated on upload then preserved for future integrity validation. Sensitive data fields such as assay results are only amendable by the Paris Project Manager. Time-stamped/user records are kept to map all changes in the database. Hourly time-stamped backups are undertaken with daily and monthly backups to remote drive systems and cloud backup. IVR takes full responsibility for the database Data sent to H&S Consultants Pty Ltd (H&SC) as a series of Excel files for collars, downhole surveys, lithology, alteration, mineralisation, assays, density and geotechnical data. Data was imported by H&SC into an Access database with indexed fields, including checks for duplicate entries, sample overlap, unusual assay values and missing data. Additional error checking using the Surpac database audit option for incorrect hole depth, sample/logging overlaps and missing downhole surveys. Manual checking of logging codes for consistency, plausibility of drill hole trajectories and assay grades. Modifications made to lithology codes for easier use in interpretation. Lithochemical coding of samples to assist with geological interpretation. Negative assay values for silver due to below detection limits (73 samples) were confined to the aircore drilling and were left unchanged. -999 values representing unsampled areas were unchanged. All negative values were ignored in the compositing (see check models section).

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Site visits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Comment on any site visits undertaken by the Competent Person and the outcome of those visits.</i> • <i>If no site visits have been undertaken indicate why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment of the data confirms that it is suitable for Mineral Resource estimation. • Jason Murray and John Anderson, employees of IVR, completed numerous site visits between 2012 and 2016, and have reviewed all drill core and RC chips, and all geological mapping and interpretation. • A site visit of approximately three weeks was completed by Independent Consultant Bruce Godsmark of Mining Plus in 2013. A full review of drilling techniques, core and drilling data was completed with only minor issues identified. • A site visit was conducted by Mr Simon Tear, a director of H&SC for a period of three days during the 2016 infill resource drilling at Paris and reviewed drill core, drilling techniques, sampling and recording of information. • Company representatives including the Competent Person attended the Core Resources and Bureau Veritas laboratories to review and verify metallurgical and mineralogical test procedures and objectives.
Geological interpretation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Confidence in (or conversely, the uncertainty of) the geological interpretation of the mineral deposit.</i> • <i>Nature of the data used and of any assumptions made.</i> • <i>The use of geology in guiding and controlling Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>The factors affecting continuity both of grade and geology.</i> • <i>The effect, if any, of alternative interpretations on Mineral Resource estimation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confidence in the geological interpretation at the Paris Silver Project is regarded as high at a broad scale and also in areas where there is close spaced diamond drilling. Confidence decreases between drilled sections where sampling is on 100m line spacing and drilling of uncertain quality has been undertaken. The recent infill drilling has resulted in very modest changes to the existing geological interpretation derived in 2015. • Mineralisation is highly variable in grade distribution but generally flat-lying, predominantly located in the oxide-transition zone above a basement of older dolomitic marble that forms a “dome” feature within the area drilled. Mineralisation is bounded in lateral extent by graphitic and iron-rich metasediments in faulted contact to the host volcanic breccia. • Depths to mineralisation within the Project area vary from near surface (~4m) to approximately 300m, with the majority of mineralisation at 4m to 150m depths. • Sulphide mineralisation is largely breccia hosted as disseminations and clasts and includes acanthite as one of the major silver mineral species in addition to inclusions within sulphide species, predominantly pyrite and galena. Other sulphide species

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>identified include galena, arsenopyrite, pyrite, sphalerite +/- chalcopyrite. Significant amounts of native silver are also present.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mineralisation shows a geometry consistent with a degree of dispersion attributed to later hydrothermal alteration and/or supergene effects from weathering events. • The majority of the contained silver occurs within the host breccia close to the dolomite basement contact. A degree of concentration of mineralisation on this interpreted palaeo unconformity is present. • The main trend of mineralisation is approximately 320 degrees. A series of cross cutting structures and dykes have been observed at approximately 060 degrees, additional structures within the system are most likely present but obscured by the degree of alteration and overall brecciation. • Lead mineralisation partly overlaps with the silver mineralisation. This may be the result of the formation of primary mineralisation related to some boiling effect or due to subsequent dissolution and re-precipitation of silver due to supergene weathering processes. The majority of lead is in the form of galena with some oxide lead as cerussite. • Interpretation of the drillhole database allowed for the generation of 3D oxidation surfaces from wireframe strings snapped to drillholes for the cover sequence, base of complete oxidation (“BOCO”) and base of partial oxidation (“BOPO”) on 25m and 50m spaced sections. The Cover and BOPO surfaces were based on geological logging, multi-element assays and review of core photographs. The BOCO was primarily defined using sulphur assays, geological logging and core photo review. The surfaces were reviewed by H&SC and if necessary adjusted for geological sense. • No specific silver mineral zones were defined. This is acceptable with the proposed modelling method. • 3D geological definition comprised surfaces for the base of meta-sediment and the top of dolomite unconformity. The former was based on geological logging and multi-element assays particularly titanium, potassium and vanadium whilst the latter was based on geological logging, calcium and magnesium assays; both utilised geological sense. A 3D solid was created for the volcanic breccia

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>based on geological logging, aluminium assays (a proxy for clay alteration) and geological sense.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In order to accommodate the lead mineralisation a main mineral solid with two minor peripheral solids were created from wireframe strings snapped to drillholes. A nominal lead cut off of 0.15% was used for the solids. • Occasional deeper drillholes have intersected significant narrow silver mineralisation which is believed to be primary mineralisation. Origins of this mineralisation have not been proven at this point in time. • Geological understanding is good and appropriate for resource estimation. • Alternative interpretations are possible for the lithological and oxidation domain definition but are unlikely to affect the estimates. • The complexity of overlapping mineral styles, brecciation and supergene movements plus the orebody type means there is both a strong stratabound and strong structural control to the silver grade and geological continuity of the mineralisation.
Dimensions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The extent and variability of the Mineral Resource expressed as length (along strike or otherwise), plan width, and depth below surface to the upper and lower limits of the Mineral Resource.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The block model measures 1,800m in the grid north direction by 900m in the grid east direction and by 330m from surface. • Mineralisation stretches for 1,600m of strike length with variable width but is generally <800m wide. Thickness is highly variable. • The resource is divided into two drilling domains based on the amount of drilling <i>i.e.</i> 25m spacing and 50m to 100m spacing, with four oxidation-based sub-domains. These sub-domains are the Cover Sequence, the oxide, the transition and fresh rock zones based on a set of 3D surfaces. • Depth to fresh rock is variable ranging from 60m to 130m below surface. A nominal base to a majority of the drilling is 160m below surface at approximately the 25mRL
Estimation and modelling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature and appropriateness of the estimation technique(s) applied and key assumptions, including treatment of extreme grade values, domaining, interpolation parameters and maximum distance of extrapolation from data points. If a computer assisted estimation method was chosen include a description of computer software and parameters used.</i> • <i>The availability of check estimates, previous estimates and/or mine production records and whether the Mineral Resource estimate takes</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Mineral Resource estimate are based on 383 drill holes for 45,718m. • The estimation of silver grades was undertaken using Multiple Indicator Kriging (“MIK”) in the GS3M software with the block model loaded into the Surpac mining software for validation and resource reporting. • MIK is considered to be an appropriate estimation technique for this style of mineralisation.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>appropriate account of such data.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The assumptions made regarding recovery of by-products.</i> • <i>Estimation of deleterious elements or other non-grade variables of economic significance (eg sulphur for acid mine drainage characterisation).</i> • <i>In the case of block model interpolation, the block size in relation to the average sample spacing and the search employed.</i> • <i>Any assumptions behind modelling of selective mining units.</i> • <i>Any assumptions about correlation between variables.</i> • <i>Description of how the geological interpretation was used to control the resource estimates.</i> • <i>Discussion of basis for using or not using grade cutting or capping.</i> • <i>The process of validation, the checking process used, the comparison of model data to drill hole data, and use of reconciliation data if available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • There is no correlation between silver and any other elements e.g. copper, lead and zinc. • The oxidation limits were treated as soft boundaries. • A total of 42,524 one metre silver composites were used to estimate the mineralisation. The dominant number of samples is within the main transition zone (about 56% of the total). Coefficients of variation (“CV”) were variable for the sub-domains with ranges of 2.1 to 2.3 for the cover sequence, 3.4 to 3.7 for the oxide, 8.3 to 9.2 for the transition (the main mineralised zone) and 10.8 to 19.5 for the fresh rock zone. This indicates skewed data with a significant outlier high grade population(s). • MIK is designed to overcome the need for top cutting. However the high CVs and a review of the conditional statistics for the top indicator class for the oxide, transition and fresh mineralisation resulted in compromise mean values being substituted for the top indicator class for grade estimation; the compromise is the average of the mean and the median for the top indicator class for each of the three sub-domains mentioned. • No assumptions were made regarding the recovery of any by-products. • Variography was performed using 2m composited silver data for the mineralised bedrock. Variable nugget effects were noted with the metal variograms for the different sub-domains. The nugget effect was moderately high for the lower two sub-domains compared to the upper two and ranges in most cases were relatively short with the strike direction generally longer than the across strike direction. The indicator variograms exhibited reasonable continuity. The grade continuity patterns are expected with this type of breccia-hosted sulphide mineralisation overprinted with supergene enrichment producing oxide mineralisation. • Drill spacing is variable between 25m and 100m section spacing. On section spacing is either 25m or 50m. Most diamond holes are drilled grid E-W or W-E with a series of N-S oriented holes in the northern half of the deposit; RC holes generally are vertical. Downhole sample spacing is 1m. • Block dimensions are 25m by 25m by 5m (E, N, RL respectively) with an assumed selective mining unit of 5m by 5m by 2.5m. The X and Y-axis dimensions were chosen as a reflection of the

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>detailed drill spacing. The vertical dimension reflects downhole data spacing in conjunction with possible bench heights. Discretisation was set to 5x5x2 (E, N, RL respectively).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modelling used an expanding search pass strategy with the initial search radii based on the drill spacing increasing to take in the geometry of the mineralisation and the variography. Modelling consisted initially of one estimation run with three passes. An additional pass (Pass 4) was included to maintain consistency with the 2015 model. The minimum search used was 35m by 35m by 5m (Pass 1), expanding by 50% to 52.5m by 52.5m by 7.5m (Passes 2 & 3). Pass 4 had a maximum search of 75m by 75m by 10m. The minimum number of data was 16 samples, a maximum of 48 and 4 octants for Passes 1 & 2 decreasing to 8 points and 2 octants for Passes 3 and 4. • The maximum extrapolation of the estimates is about 50m. • An MIK model was completed for the lead mineralisation using similar methodologies. The lead data exhibited much lower coefficients of variation, around the 2 value. Experimental models varying the use of the median and mean for the top indicator class indicated very little variation in the resource estimates. • The estimation procedure was reviewed as part of an internal H&SC peer review. • No deleterious elements or acid mine drainage has been factored in. • A check MIK model was completed by H&SC which showed consistent results with the original model. A second check model replaced the unsampled sections (-999 in the assay table) with very low values; no significant impact was observed. • The final H&SC block model was reviewed visually by H&SC and it was concluded that the block model fairly represents the grades observed in the drill holes. H&SC also validated the block model statistically using a variety of histograms and summary statistics. • Validation confirmed the modelling strategy as acceptable with no significant issues. • No production has taken place so no reconciliation data is available.
Moisture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the tonnages are estimated on a dry basis or with natural moisture, and the method of determination of the moisture content.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Tonnages are estimated on a dry weight basis; moisture not determined.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Cut-off parameters	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis of the adopted cut-off grade(s) or quality parameters applied. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A series of Mineral Resource estimates were generated for a series of silver cut-off grades. For the quoted Mineral Resource estimates a 50g/t silver cut off was used on block centroids above the 25m RL for all sub-domains types. The reported silver Mineral Resource are recoverable estimates. The reported lead grade is an average block grade from the lead MIK model. The cut-off grade at which the Mineral Resource is quoted reflects an intended bulk-mining approach and was advised to H&SC by IVR.
Mining factors or assumptions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assumptions made regarding possible mining methods, minimum mining dimensions and internal (or, if applicable, external) mining dilution. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential mining methods, but the assumptions made regarding mining methods and parameters when estimating Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the mining assumptions made. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> H&SC's understanding of a bulk mining open-pit scenario is based on information supplied by IVR. The assumed smallest mining unit ("SMU") (5mx5mx2.5m) is the effective minimum mining dimension for this estimate. Any internal dilution has been factored in with the modelling and as such is appropriate to the block size. The mineralisation is assumed to be amenable to open-pit mining methods. <p>Subsequent to the Paris Mineral Resource estimate, April 2017, IVR have undertaken a limited amount of additional in-house studies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> For the purpose of demonstrating a reasonable prospect of eventual economic extraction, in September 2017, an open-pit optimisation study was undertaken. A pit wall angle of 45 degrees, mining factor 110% and grade factor 90% were assumed. A series of representative costs were included in the optimisation study which were considered appropriate at the current level of knowledge, style and size of the project. A silver price of US\$17.14/oz, lead price of US\$1,165.5/t, and exchange rate of \$A1.0=US\$0.76 had been assumed. No allowance has been made for plant or capital. No further work has been undertaken. A baseline geotechnical assessment program was completed recently with preliminary testwork undertaken. This work is incomplete and would be used to inform an additional phase of the open-pit optimisation and mining study work in later stage work.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<p>Metallurgical factors or assumptions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The basis for assumptions or predictions regarding metallurgical amenability. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider potential metallurgical methods, but the assumptions regarding metallurgical treatment processes and parameters made when reporting Mineral Resources may not always be rigorous. Where this is the case, this should be reported with an explanation of the basis of the metallurgical assumptions made. 	<p>2013 Initial Metallurgy Testwork</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initial metallurgical testwork was completed by Core Resources in October 2013. Seven metallurgical samples (composited from multiple drillholes of similar geological characteristic) were selected as representative of mineralised rock-types and grade ranges from areas within the maiden Inferred Mineral Resource envelope of the Paris Silver Deposit. The samples were made up of quarter diamond core and RC samples with an average weight of <i>circa</i> 130kg. A series of preliminary standard laboratory scale metallurgical tests were undertaken by a suitable and creditable testing laboratory, comprising; crush and grind analysis, XRD mineralogy, cyanide leaching, composite optimisation and flotation analysis. The preliminary metallurgical test work undertaken, reported initial silver metallurgical recoveries around 75% and up to 97% for select domains, with a low likelihood of complex ore or refractory silver. <p>2017 Metallurgy Testwork (Phase 2)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In September 2017, Core Resources commenced the second phase of metallurgical testwork, including; comminution testwork, gravity/flotation/leach testwork and process/flowsheet design. <p>Phase 2 Metallurgy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Metallurgical sample selection for the second phase of testwork was undertaken utilising a combination of IVR, H&SC and CSA Global (geometallurgical modelling) for the selection of four test domains (oxide breccia, transitional breccia (non-Mg/Ca), transitional breccia (Mg/Ca) and fresh dolomite). And an additional five subdomain's within the transitional breccia non Mg/Ca domain. It should be noted that as part of the geometallurgical assessment, domains were compared with the original 2013 metallurgical domains and it was identified that the majority of 2013 domains were composed of mixtures of the 2017 domain material, with only two domains displaying correlation with the 2017 assessment. As a result of this – there have been noted differences in recoveries between the two programs which can be attributed to differences in testwork domains in the two programs. The 2017 program of work has been undertaken on a more detailed geometallurgical

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>domain selection and is regarded as comprehensive at the scale of work and area being tested compared with 2013 data.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Modelling and wire-framing of each geometallurgical domain occurred and an average indicated resource grade contained within the 2017 optimised pit for each domain was estimated for each wireframe utilising the April 2017 Paris Mineral Resource block model provided by H&SC consultants. This estimated indicated grade was referenced in selection of samples from each domain to produce a composite for metallurgical test work with a grade as close as possible to the estimated resource grade for that domain. • Domains were composited utilising available RC coarse reject sample material retained and quarantined for this phase of work during the 2016 Paris infill drilling program which formed part of the April 2017 Mineral Resource estimate. Domain sample size varies from 77kg to 1,223kg with a total of 2,500kg of material selected for composites. <p>Mineralogy:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mineralogical testwork identified that the dominant silver species at Paris are Acanthite, Native Silver and Jalpaite and Chlorargyrite, with a number of lesser contributors. All of the dominant silver species were identified in literature searches as having good leach recoveries. • Silver mineralisation is generally fine in nature (<30µm) and was found to have association with silica in upper oxide and breccia transitional domains in the form of micro inclusions. • Lead mineralisation was identified to be dominated by galena and coronadite in addition to other lesser contributors. <p>Comminution:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comminution tests completed by Core Resource on two domains (mineralised breccia and dolomite) was completed utilising ½ PQ3 diamond core from recent twin holes drilled as part of the April 2017 Mineral Resource estimate program. Core was selected based on representivity of mineralisation and lithological units. Only half core material was available for this testwork. • Unconfined compressive strength tests of 1.6MPa to 51.2MPa indicate soft ore when compared to other ores tested by Core Resources. • Bond abrasion tests indicate low values compared to other ores

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>tested by Core Resources.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bond impact crushing work index test values for both domains is considered very low compared to other ores Core Resources has tested. • Bond ball mill work index test results are considered moderate to hard, compared to other ores Core Resources has tested. <p>Leach and Flotation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A series of standard laboratory scale leach tests completed by Core Resource on the four test domains comprising cyanide leaching at different grind sizes (P₈₀ passing 53µm, 73µm and 106µm) and cyanide concentrate levels (2,500ppm and 4,000ppm), with samples collected and metal recovery analysis undertaken after; 6-hours, 24-hous and 48-hours. • Core Resource completed a series of standard laboratory scale flotation tests on the four test domains comprising flotation analysis at different grind sizes (P₈₀ passing 53µm, 73µm and 106µm), and samples collected in 2 minute intervals until active frothing had stopped. Core Resources concluded that flotation was not a viable treatment process for the Paris Project. • Variations to the testing protocol including hydrogen peroxide and lead nitrate pre-conditioning ahead of cyanide leach tests, in addition to variation of cyanide concentrations as a method to test possible recovery improvements. Preconditioning was found to incrementally improve leach recoveries. • Combined leach and flotation test scenarios were also undertaken on the main domain types; transitional breccia (non-Mg/Ca), transitional breccia (Mg/Ca) and fresh dolomite. The flotation products (concentrate and tails) were leached. In addition but not limited to various combinations of leaching of the flotation tails, ultra-fine grinding (to P₈₀ of 10µm) of the tails then leached and ultra-fine grinding of the flotation concentrate before leaching. Assaying was undertaken after each test; post flotation on concentrate and tails, post leaching on concentrate and tails. This allowed the reconciliation of grades, metal and mass department. • Additionally, the upper breccia transitional domain was sub divided into two further definable geometallurgical domains with leach testwork done on both sub-domains undertaken in addition to subsets obtained from differing depths to test for weathering

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>related impacts on leach recoveries. This work identified that there was little difference in recovery within these sub-domains, but that there was a lower recovery associated with samples above a nominal 30m below surface boundary which is attributed to a subtle weathering effect.</p> <p>Gravity Separation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A series of standard laboratory scale gravity separation tests were completed by Core Resource on the test domains at P₈₀ 425µm and different gravity separation parameters, <i>i.e.</i> allowing different material to be separated according to specific gravity of material. • Tests were undertaken on the Knelson product (Knelson concentrate), including additional separation tests <i>i.e.</i> heavy liquid separation (“HLS”) at different liquid densities to extract heavier lead product. In addition the HLS and Knelson tails were combined, ground to P₈₀ 53µm, and cyanide Leach tests undertaken to test the possible extract the silver. • Core Resources identified that gravity separation was not an appropriate processing component for a number of domains within the deposit, but that it did have potential value worth consideration for one upper breccia transitional domain. <p>Process Recommendations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core Resources identified a preliminary processing flowsheet which was centred around a cyanide leach circuit, with consideration towards a gravity separation module for one domain of the deposit. The average 74% recovery of silver resulting from test-work in this program is reliant on a P₈₀ 53µm grind size and pre-conditioning of ore prior to cyanide leaching.
<p>Environmental factors or assumptions</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Assumptions made regarding possible waste and process residue disposal options. It is always necessary as part of the process of determining reasonable prospects for eventual economic extraction to consider the potential environmental impacts of the mining and processing operation. While at this stage the determination of potential environmental impacts, particularly for a greenfields project, may not always be well advanced, the status of early consideration of these potential environmental impacts should be reported. Where these aspects have not been considered this should be reported with an explanation of the environmental assumptions made.</i> 	<p>Flora and Fauna:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Comprehensive baseline flora fauna studies identified no controlled species present in the area which might be disturbed by potential mine development. <p>Geography:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The area lies within flat terrain with no water courses in the general vicinity. • The area is covered with sparse mallee vegetation typical of eastern Eyre Peninsula pastoral lease environment in South Australia. <p>Groundwater:</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A high level assessment of groundwater potential for supply and use in processing and impacts has been completed by Wallbridge, Gilbert & Aztec (“WGA”) hydrological consultants as part of ongoing prefeasibility study work. • The study focussed on an identified Paleochannel (Hector) located 10km to 15km east of the Paris deposit. Geometric modelling of the paleochannel was undertaken using available existing drill data in addition to four hydrological investigation holes drilled in 2017. This resulted in assessment of three zones within the paleochannel aquifer with a potential water storage of 60 gigalitres estimated. The study assumed hydrological connectivity of the three zones. • Salinity measurements from the paleochannel ranged from 29,500mg/L to 41,790mg/L which is above levels appropriate for livestock (0 to 5,000mg/L) as defined by the National Water Quality Management Strategy (2000) (NWQMS). Standing water level varied from 3m to 16m down hole. Low order initial calculation of aquifer through flow estimate of 22 megalitres per annum which supports recharge of any water use. It should be noted that impacts on slug test measurements to determine the transmissivity including, narrow 42mm casing, difficulty in casing sand interval using method employed in test holes and rapid oscillation at start of tests has resulted in WGA indicating that transmissivity is likely a lower estimate (through flow = transmissivity x aquifer width x potentiometric surface gradient). • Impact on existing groundwater users was considered by WGA to be negligible, with nearest operating stock watering wells completed in fractured bedrock and located some 4km west of the paleochannel and approximately 9km from the Paris deposit. Baseline measurement of seasonal variation in water quality has commenced by sampling nearby registered wells and paleochannel investigative holes on a 3 monthly basis. • 45 litres of water obtained from the paleochannel was supplied to Core Resources for use during metallurgical testwork in order to identify any potential processing changes due to water quality. <p>Mining:</p>

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> To date no consideration has been given to ore stockpiles, waste rock or process residue disposal options, due to the early stage of the project. Waste characterisation analysis has been completed internally by IVR and an independent environmental consultant has reviewed and audited this work and confirmed the level of study as being appropriate for a pre-feasibility level of study and identified no errors or omissions of note in the study. The study identified no significant issues of immediate concern from a waste management perspective. It was established that approximately 75% of waste material at Paris would be non acid forming, 15% of material was identified as acid neutralising material. It is assumed that any potentially acid forming waste rock would be able to be contained as part of mining operations by appropriate design. No environmental impact studies on the effects of open-pit mining have been completed by the IVR.
Bulk density	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Whether assumed or determined. If assumed, the basis for the assumptions. If determined, the method used, whether wet or dry, the frequency of the measurements, the nature, size and representativeness of the samples.</i> <i>The bulk density for bulk material must have been measured by methods that adequately account for void spaces (vugs, porosity, etc), moisture and differences between rock and alteration zones within the deposit.</i> <i>Discuss assumptions for bulk density estimates used in the evaluation process of the different materials.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Density data comprises 11,118 samples (using the immersion in water Archimedes method) for both mineralisation and waste rock. Check measurements on 51 transition samples using the sealed in wax technique with the Archimedes method, indicated minor overstatement of 5% to 7% of density in the original data (4,410 samples). Too few data points for the other oxide zones are present to draw any conclusions. Check density measurements were completed for different rock types from the 2016 diamond drillholes. The technique employed weighing the core trays, measuring core runs in the trays and using callipers to measurement core diameter. Resulting density values indicated slightly lower values (~5%) compared to the non-waxed single pieces of core used previously for generating default values. A new series of default density values for mineral sub-domains was supplied by IVR that were derived from the weighed core tray samples and the check sealed in wax samples: 1.96t/m³ for cover material, 1.97t/m³ for oxide, 2.16t/m³ for transition and 2.78t/m³ for fresh rock. Allocation of density grades to the blocks is based on the oxidation surfaces and their partial percent volume adjustments.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Classification	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The basis for the classification of the Mineral Resources into varying confidence categories.</i> <i>Whether appropriate account has been taken of all relevant factors (ie relative confidence in tonnage/grade estimations, reliability of input data, confidence in continuity of geology and metal values, quality, quantity and distribution of the data).</i> <i>Whether the result appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A check Ordinary Kriged model for the original density data indicated a minor overstatement in the global density value (~5%) when compared with the use of the default values. Allocation of the resource classification to the block was based on the search passes used to interpolate the block grades. Pass 1 = Indicated, Passes 2, 3 & 4 = Inferred. Classification of the Mineral Resources has been based primarily on the drillhole spacing and the variogram modelling <i>i.e.</i> the sample, spacing and the improved grade continuity, with significant positive inputs from the sampling methods and procedures, the amount of density data, the QA/QC outcomes, good geological understanding, detailed geological interpretation and sensible mining depths. The classification appropriately reflects the Competent Person's view of the deposit.
Audits or reviews	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The results of any audits or reviews of Mineral Resource estimates.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No audits of the new Mineral Resource estimates have been completed. The estimation procedure was reviewed as part of an internal H&SC peer review. A range of check MIK models was produced by H&SC. These models provided a measure of the robustness of the Mineral Resource estimates and the sensitivity to the high grades.
Discussion of relative accuracy/ confidence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where appropriate a statement of the relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimate using an approach or procedure deemed appropriate by the Competent Person. For example, the application of statistical or geostatistical procedures to quantify the relative accuracy of the resource within stated confidence limits, or, if such an approach is not deemed appropriate, a qualitative discussion of the factors that could affect the relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate.</i> <i>The statement should specify whether it relates to global or local estimates, and, if local, state the relevant tonnages, which should be relevant to technical and economic evaluation. Documentation should include assumptions made and the procedures used.</i> <i>These statements of relative accuracy and confidence of the estimate should be compared with production data, where available.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The relative accuracy and confidence level in the Mineral Resource estimates are considered to be in line with the generally accepted accuracy and confidence of the nominated Mineral Resource categories. This has been determined on a qualitative, rather than quantitative, basis, and is based on the Competent Person's experience with similar deposits. The complex geological nature of the deposit and the relatively sporadic distribution of high grade assays and the demonstrations of the grade continuity lend themselves to a moderate level of confidence in the resource estimates. The infill drilling on 25m spacing has allowed for an improvement in the grade continuity and hence an upgrading of the resource quality. Without doubt the Mineral Resource estimates are very sensitive to the high silver grades. H&SC has attempted to deal with this by using a non-linear grade interpolation technique, Multiple Indicator Kriging, and judicious modification to the parameters and

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		<p>values used in the grade interpolation process. Fresh rock zones below the 25mRL have been omitted from the estimates due to a lack of confidence in the interpolated grades and their distributions, both a function of the geological uncertainty associated with process of the mineral formation.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Mineral Resource estimates are considered to be reasonably accurate globally, but there is some uncertainty in the local estimates due to the current drillhole spacing.• No mining of the deposit has taken place so no production data is available for comparison.