



ARDIDEN

8 December 2016

MORE STRONG SPODUMENE-BEARING PEGMATITE INTERCEPTS AT SEYMOUR LAKE LITHIUM PROJECT, CANADA

Initial resource drilling completed with assays expected shortly and diamond rig set to move on immediately to commence drilling at the Manitouwadge Graphite Project

HIGHLIGHTS:

- **More shallow spodumene-bearing pegmatites logged in drill core from a further 10 completed diamond drill-holes, with collective mineralised zones up to 18m wide encountered.**
- **Pegmatite zones extended both west and east at the North Aubry prospect – with the mineralisation remaining open to the east, west and at depth.**
- **Multiple substantial secondary sills of mineralisation identified beneath and parallel to the known pegmatite exposures at North Aubry.**
- **Multiple spodumene-bearing pegmatites intersected at the Central Aubry prospect, remaining open to the north, east and at depth.**
- **27-hole resource drilling program at Seymour Lake now completed with first assay results expected soon. Maiden JORC 2012 Mineral Resource targeted for Q2 2017.**
- **Drill rig to mobilise immediately to the Manitouwadge Graphite Project, to commence the maiden resource drilling program.**

Lithium and graphite explorer Ardiden Limited (ASX: ADV) is pleased to advise that further substantial zones of spodumene-bearing pegmatite have been intersected at its majority owned **Seymour Lake Lithium Project** in Ontario, Canada as the resource drilling program wraps up and the rig moves on to begin drilling at the nearby Manitouwadge Graphite Project.

Ardiden has made excellent progress over the last few weeks with the 27-hole program comprising a total of 1,728m of diamond drilling, now completed. Since the last update on 23 November 2016, a further 10 diamond drill holes have been completed, with encouraging visual results reported by the Company's geological team.

The continued intersection of multiple high quality spodumene-bearing pegmatite zones reinforces the potential to establish a maiden JORC 2012 Mineral Resource estimate for the Seymour Lake Project next year.

Ardiden Limited

Suite 6, 295 Rokeby Road
Subiaco WA 6008

Tel: +61 (0) 8 6555 2950
Fax: +61 (0) 8 9382 1222
www.ardiden.com.au

ASX Code: ADV
Shares on Issue: 819.0M

NORTH AUBRY PROSPECT

The most recent diamond drilling has intersected multiple near-surface zones of pegmatite mineralisation over varying widths, as seen in drill hole SL-16-71, which intersected a total of **18.31 metres** of spodumene-bearing sills over a total down-hole width of approximately 102m, and drill hole SL-16-72, which intersected a total of **16.96 metres** of spodumene-bearing sills over a total down-hole width of approximately 101m.



Figure 1. Drill core obtained from hole SL-16-73 showing a portion of the 15m intersection of high quality spodumene-bearing pegmatite.

The final two diamond drill holes, SL-16-72 and SL-16-73, confirmed extensions of the known mineralisation both to the east and west at North Aubry. These extensions are yet to be fully evaluated and remain open. Unfortunately, Ardiden was unable to undertake further drilling on these extensions due to mechanical issues with the excavator at site and the onset of winter snowfall, which meant that access and drill pads could not be established.

The identification of these previously unrecognised extensions is an important development which increases the Company's confidence in the potential of the Seymour Lake Project to host a significant lithium deposit. The extensions will be further evaluated during the next round of drilling.

The proximity of the pegmatites to surface at North Aubry prospect is also considered to be a strategic advantage, potentially allowing easier access to high-quality mineralisation in a future mining scenario, reducing the required pre-strip and resulting in a lower extraction cost and improved project economics.

The drilling has also continued to intersect multiple and substantial secondary layers of pegmatite mineralisation (beneath and parallel to known exposures) up to 15 metres thick at North Aubry, as seen in drill holes SL-16-71, SL-16-72 and SL-16-73 (Table 1). The deeper drill holes have confirmed the presence of multiple pegmatite mineralisation zones between 44m to 97m down-hole.

While these additional layers are deeper than other zones intersected in recent drilling, they are still considered to be of potential significant value to the Company given the North Aubry prospect is located on the side and crest of a hill.




Figure 2. Overview showing the pegmatite exposures at North Aubry prospects and interpreted extensions.




Figure 3. Representative cross-section of North Aubry at 5585110mN showing the upper sill

To date, Ardiden has still only drill tested an area of approximately 250m in length at Seymour Lake, representing approximately 5 per cent of the total strike length of the known pegmatite exposures, which extend about 5km to the south of the North Aubry prospect.

The drilling has continued to validate the interpreted extensions of the known mineralised zones and define the boundaries of the main outcropping spodumene-bearing pegmatite structures at the project. The Company notes that drill holes which intersected less than 5 metres of spodumene pegmatite were not reported in this announcement.

Table 1. Drilling Logs for holes SL-16-61 to SL-16-63 at Seymour Lake Lithium Project.

Hole ID	East	North	Total Depth (m)	Dip	From (m)	To (m)	Interval (m)	Description
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	0.00	1.80	1.80	Overburden
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	1.80	8.23	6.43	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	8.23	8.55	0.32	Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	8.55	72.95	64.40	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	72.95	83.93	10.98	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-64	396998.45	5585237.94	102	-60	83.93	102.0	18.07	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	11.30	
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	0.00	4.36	4.36	Overburden
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	4.36	6.84	2.48	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	6.84	13.90	7.06	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	13.90	17.66	3.76	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	17.66	26.71	9.05	Spodumene Pegmatite
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	26.71	27.83	1.12	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	27.83	28.20	0.37	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-68	396539	5584627	52	-60	28.20	52.0	23.80	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	16.48	
SL-16-69	396527	5584573	52	-60	0.00	5.00	5.0	Overburden
SL-16-69	396527	5584573	52	-60	5.00	15.70	10.70	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-69	396527	5584573	52	-60	15.70	52.0	36.30	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	10.70	
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	0.00	1.37	1.37	Overburden
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	1.37	43.75	42.38	Mafic Volcanic



SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	43.75	51.89	8.14	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	51.89	55.75	3.86	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	55.75	56.40	0.65	Pegmatite
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	56.40	86.95	30.55	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	86.95	91.15	4.2	Spodumene Pegmatite
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	91.15	92.21	1.06	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	92.21	97.53	5.32	Spodumene Pegmatite
SL-16-71	397027.75	5585168.64	102	-60	97.21	102.0	4.79	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	18.31	
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	0.00	1.20	1.20	Overburden
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	1.20	4.06	2.86	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	4.06	6.77	2.71	Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	6.77	49.70	42.93	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	49.70	63.30	13.6	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	63.30	88.55	25.25	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	88.55	89.20	0.65	Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-72	396858.39	5585154.36	101	-80	89.20	101.00	11.80	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	16.96	
SL-16-73	397109.64	5585130.17	102	-60	0.00	6.00	6.00	Overburden
SL-16-73	397109.64	5585130.17	102		6.00	62.10	56.10	Mafic Volcanic
SL-16-73	397109.64	5585130.17	102		62.10	77.23	15.13	Spodumene Nb/Ta Pegmatite
SL-16-73	397109.64	5585130.17	102		77.23	102.00	24.77	Mafic Volcanic
						TOTAL	15.13	




Figure 4. Plan view of new (SL16-47 to SL16-73) and historical drill collar locations at North Aubry prospect. The grid lines are spaced at 50m intervals.

The recently completed Phase 1 drilling program was designed to underpin an initial partial maiden JORC 2012 Mineral Resource for the North Aubry prospect, which is targeted for completion by Q2 2017. Phases 2 and 3 of the resource delineation drilling program will be designed to further expand the Mineral Resource to include areas around the Central Aubry, South Aubry and Pye prospects.

CENTRAL AUBRY PROSPECT

Initial drilling at the Central Aubry prospect has successfully intersected substantial multiple near-surface layers of pegmatite mineralisation of various widths, as seen in drill hole SL-16-68, which intersected a total of **16.48 metres** of spodumene-bearing sills over a total down-hole width of 52m and drill hole SL-16-69, which intersected a total of **10.70 metres** of spodumene-bearing sills over a total down-hole width of 52m.

The Central Aubry prospect is located approximately 500m south of the North Aubry prospect and about 200m north of the South Aubry prospect and is comprised of two main exposures. Mapping of the Central Aubry prospect shows strong presence of spodumene mineralisation over the majority of the exposures surface.

Once again, the proximity of the pegmatites to surface at the Central Aubry prospect is considered to be a strategic advantage, potentially allowing easier access to high-quality mineralisation in a future mining scenario, reducing the required pre-strip and resulting in a lower extraction cost and improved project economics.

The drilling results provide the Company with further evidence that the Seymour Lake Project can host multiple, sizeable and good quality lithium deposits. The Central Aubry pegmatites structures are yet to be fully drill tested and remain open to the north, east and at depth. Unfortunately, due to the limited access into the Central Aubry prospect, Ardiden was only able to complete two diamond drill holes, during this phase of the drilling program. Ardiden will aim to drill test these newly identified mineralisation zones during the next round of drilling at Central Aubry.




Figure 5. Overview showing the interpreted mineralisation zones and pegmatite exposures at North Aubry, Central Aubry and South Aubry prospects.

Ardiden expects to start receiving drill core assay results from Actlabs shortly.

MANITOUWADGE GRAPHITE PROJECT

The diamond drill rig has been de-mobilised from the North Aubry prospect and has returned to Thunder Bay for servicing and maintenance. Ardiden’s geological team is completing the reports associated with this drilling program and finalising preparations to commence the upcoming drilling program at Manitouwadge.

The rig is expected to mobilise to the Manitouwadge site and commence the initial resource delineation diamond drilling program within a week.

Ardiden looks forward to providing further updates as they come to hand.

ENDS

For further information:

Investors:

Brad Boyle
Ardiden Ltd
Tel: +61 (0) 8 6555 2950

Media:

Nicholas Read
Read Corporate
Mobile: 0419 929 046

About Ardiden Ltd

Ardiden Limited (ASX: ADV) is an emerging international strategic metals company which is focused on the exploration, evaluation and development of two 100 per cent owned projects located in the established mining jurisdiction of Ontario, Canada.

Majority owned Seymour Lake Lithium Project comprises 7,019 Ha of mining claims and has over 4,000m of historic drilling. Mineralisation is hosted in extensive outcropping spodumene-bearing pegmatite structures with widths up to 26.13m and grades of up to 2.386% Li₂O. These high-grade pegmatite structures have been defined over a 5km strike length. Drilling program to establish a maiden JORC resource is scheduled to commence in October 2016.

The 100%-owned Root Lake Lithium Project is located in Ontario, Canada. The project comprises 1,013 Ha of mining claims and has over 10,000m of historic drilling. Mineralisation is hosted in extensive outcropping spodumene-bearing pegmatite structures with widths up to 19m and grades of up to 5.10% Li₂O. In addition, tantalum grades of up to 380 ppm were intersected.

The 100%-owned Root Bay lithium project is strategically located approximately 5km to the east of the recently acquired Root Lake Lithium Project and consists of three claim areas, totalling 720 hectares. The project was staked by Ardiden as part of its regional exploration focus in and around the Root Bay spodumene-bearing pegmatite.

Initial observations of the exposed pegmatite are characterized by coarse white albite, grey quartz and pale grey-green spodumene crystals up to 10cm long.

The 100%-owned Manitouwadge Jumbo Flake Graphite Project covers an area 5,300 Ha and has a 20km strike length of EM anomalies with graphite prospectivity. Following systematic field exploration programs, Ardiden is planning to commence its maiden resource drilling program in November 2016 to underpin economic development studies.

Previous preliminary metallurgical test work indicated that up to 80% of the graphite at Manitouwadge is high value jumbo or large flake graphite. Test work also indicated that simple, gravity and flotation beneficiation can produce graphite purity levels of up to 96.8% for jumbo flake and 96.8% for large flake. With the proven caustic bake process ultra-high purity (>99.95%) graphite can be produced. The graphite can also be processed into high value expandable graphite, high quality graphene and graphene oxide.

All projects located in an established mining province, with good access to infrastructure (road, rail, power, phone and port facilities) and local contractors and suppliers

Competent Person's Statement

The information in this report that relates to exploration results for the Seymour Lake Lithium project and is based on, and fairly represents, information and supporting geological information and documentation in this report has been reviewed by Mr Paul Nielsen who is a member of the Association of Professional Geoscientists of Ontario. Mr Nielsen is not a full-time employee of the Company. Mr Nielsen is employed as a Consultant Geologist. Mr Nielsen has more than five years relevant exploration experience, and qualifies as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 edition of the "Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves" (the JORC Code). Mr Nielsen consents to the inclusion of the information in this report in the form and context in which it appears.

Forward Looking Statement

This announcement may contain some references to forecasts, estimates, assumptions and other forward-looking statements. Although the company believes that its expectations, estimates and forecast outcomes are based on reasonable assumptions, it can give no assurance that they will be achieved. They may be affected by a variety of variables and changes in underlying assumptions that are subject to risk factors associated with the nature of the business, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed herein. All references to dollars (\$) and cents in this presentation are to Australian currency, unless otherwise stated. Investors should make and rely upon their own enquires and assessments before deciding to acquire or deal in the Company's securities.

Table 1: Seymour Lake Lithium Project (Claim Title 1245661)

Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
Sampling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Nature and quality of sampling (e.g. cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling. Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used. Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report. In cases where 'industry standard' work has been done this would be relatively simple (e.g. 'reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1 m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30g charge for fire assay'). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (e.g. submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diamond Core was split using a hydraulic splitter along a plane perpendicular to the foliation within the host rock gneiss. Bagging of the half core samples was supervised by a geologist to ensure there are no numbering mix-ups. One tag from a triple tag book was inserted in the core tray in the position of the sample interval. Standard sample intervals averaged 1 m. Sampling continued through intervening barren rock (if less than 10m width) where multiple Spodumene Pegmatite zones were intersected The sample preparation and assaying techniques are industry standard and appropriate for this type of mineralisation.
Drilling techniques	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Drill type (e.g. core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (e.g. core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc). 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Diamond wireline core drilling. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The drill core size is CHD 76, core diameter is 43.5 millimetres Drill holes were orientated using the Reflex ACT II RD core orientation tool
Drill sample recovery	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed. Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples. Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The sample interval of core was measured and recorded along with a description and incorporated in the completed drill logs. Core within the mineralised zone tended to be uniform and competent so loss was minimal and samples represent the true nature of the mineralisation No relationship between sample recovery and grade is evident.
Logging	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Samples represent half the core width, and are logged in detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation at a later stage of exploration.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
	<p><i>estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.</i> • <i>The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged.</i> 	
<p><i>Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.</i> • <i>If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.</i> • <i>For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.</i> • <i>Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.</i> • <i>Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.</i> • <i>Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core is split in half using a pressure hydraulic splitter with the remaining half retained in the core tray. • Mineralisation is massive and relatively uniform so assay samples closely represent the in situ material. • Samples were taken on an average of 1 meter intervals and were determined to be appropriate for the mineralised material being sampled
<p><i>Quality of assay data and laboratory tests</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.</i> • <i>For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.</i> • <i>Nature of quality control procedures adopted (e.g. standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (i.e. lack of bias) and precision have been established.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All samples will be analysed by Actlabs in Thunder Bay, Ontario Canada a SCC (Standards Council of Canada) accredited laboratory. • The assay technique will be FUS-Na202 • Quality control procedures included the insertion of certified standards and blanks into the sample stream.
<p><i>verification of sampling and assaying</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.</i> • <i>The use of twinned holes.</i> • <i>Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.</i> • <i>Discuss any adjustment to assay data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill logs and sample information is documented and stored digitally in field laptop units and backed up on the Ardiden server.
<p><i>Location of data points</i></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.</i> • <i>Specification of the grid system used.</i> • <i>Quality and adequacy of topographic control.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drill holes were located with handheld WAAS enabled handheld GPS units set for recording UTM NAD83 Zone 16N projection coordinates. • Drill holes were orientated using the Reflex ACT II RD core orientation tool

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Data spacing and distribution</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.</i> • <i>Whether sample compositing has been applied.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Core samples of the mineralised zone were taken at approximately 1 meter intervals and deemed appropriate to represent the in situ nature of the mineralization. • Further drilling and sampling will be required to adequately establish the geologic and grade continuity for any Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure.
<i>Orientation of data in relation to geological structure</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.</i> • <i>If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material.</i> 	Drill hole locations were designed to intercept the mineralised zone as close to true width as possible to avoid sampling bias.
<i>Sample security</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The measures taken to ensure sample security.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Samples were secured and delivered to the assay lab under chain of custody controls by the Caracle Creek Consulting group
<i>Audits or reviews</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No audits or reviews of sampling techniques have been conducted

Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</i> • <i>The security of the tenure held at the time of reporting along with any known impediments to obtaining a license to operate in the area.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All claims in the Seymour Lake Lithium project are in good standing and these include claims 1245661 1245648 1245662 1245664 1245646, which are 100% owned by Stockport Exploration Inc. Ardiden has exercised option to acquire 100% ownership of the project claims. • Ardiden staked and owns additional claims around the project including claims: 4270593, 4270594, 4270595, 4270596, 4270597, 4270598, 4279875, 4279876, 4279877, 4279878, 4279879, 4279880, 4279881, 4279882, 4279883, 4279884, 4279885, 4279886, 4279887, 4279888, 4279889,

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
		4279890, 4279891, 4279869, 4279870, 4279871, 4279872, 4279873 and 4279874
<i>Exploration done by other parties</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other parties have not appraised the exploration carried out to date
<i>Geology</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Seymour Lake area pegmatites have been classified as belonging to the Complex-type, Spodumene-subtype. Mineralization is dominated by spodumene (Li), with lesser tantalite(Ta) hosted in a series of steeply dipping pegmatite dykes.
<i>Drill hole Information</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ <i>easting and northing of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar</i> ○ <i>dip and azimuth of the hole</i> ○ <i>down hole length and interception depth</i> ○ <i>hole length.</i> • <i>If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • See Figure 4 for the location of the drill collars. • See Table 1 for the downhole drill logs showing the intersected zones of spodumene mineralisation
<i>Data aggregation methods</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (e.g. cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.</i> • <i>Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.</i> • <i>The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With the homogeneity of the mineralised material, sample intervals for the most part were kept at one metre intervals
<i>Relationship between mineralisation</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.</i> • <i>If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mineralised zones were determined to be shallow dipping and drill holes were drilled vertically so that mineralised drill intercepts represented close to true widths minimizing any bias in reporting of results.

Criteria	JORC Code explanation	Commentary
<i>widths and intercept lengths</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. 'down hole length, true width not known').</i> 	
<i>diagrams</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> See Figure 1 for the location of the drill hole collars
<i>Balanced reporting</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No comprehensive report has been completed to date to include the latest Ardiden exploration results.
<i>Other substantive exploration data</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> All meaningful and material data is reported
<i>Further work</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).</i> <i>Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive.</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Refer to text within the report.